

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

22 November 2017

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Huang, H-T. and Bobst, C. E. and Iwig, J. S. and Chivers, P. T. and Kaltashov, I. and Maroney, M. J. (2018) 'Co(II) and Ni(II) binding of the Escherichia coli transcriptional repressor RcnR orders its N-terminus, alters helix dynamics, and reduces DNA affinity.', *Journal of biological chemistry*, 293 (1). pp. 324-332.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA117.000398>

Publisher's copyright statement:

This research was originally published in *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. Huang, H-T., Bobst, C. E., Iwig, J. S., Chivers, P. T., Kaltashov, I. Maroney, M. J. (2017). Co(II) and Ni(II) binding of the Escherichia coli transcriptional repressor RcnR orders its N-terminus, alters helix dynamics, and reduces DNA affinity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. © 2017, the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

Co(II) and Ni(II) binding of the *Escherichia coli* transcriptional repressor RcnR orders its N-terminus, alters helix dynamics, and reduces DNA affinity

Hsin-Ting Huang¹, Cedric E. Bobst¹, Jeffrey S. Iwig², Peter T. Chivers³, Igor A. Kaltashov¹ and Michael J. Maroney^{*1}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, United States

²Carmot Therapeutics, Inc., San Francisco, California 94158, United States

³Departments of Biosciences and Chemistry, Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom

Running title: *RcnR conformational changes by HDX-MS*

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Prof. Michael J. Maroney, Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, United States, Telephone: (413) 545-4876, FAX: (413)545-4490, Email: mmaroney@chem.umass.edu.

Keywords: Hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry, transcription regulation, nickel and cobalt responsive regulator, allosteric regulation and DNA binding protein

ABSTRACT

RcnR, a transcriptional regulator in *Escherichia coli*, derepresses the expression of the export proteins RcnAB upon binding Ni(II) or Co(II). Lack of structural information has precluded elucidation of the allosteric basis for the decreased DNA affinity in RcnR's metal-bound states. Here, using hydrogen-deuterium exchange coupled with MS (HDX-MS), we probed the RcnR structure in the presence of DNA, the cognate metal ions Ni(II) and Co(II), or the noncognate metal ion Zn(II). We found that cognate metal binding altered the flexibility from the N-terminus through helix 1 and modulated the RcnR–DNA interaction. Apo-RcnR and RcnR–DNA complexes and the Zn(II)-RcnR complex exhibited similar ²H uptake kinetics, with fast-exchanging segments located in the N terminus, in helix 1 (residues 14–24), and at the C terminus. The largest difference in ²H incorporation between apo- and Ni(II)- and Co(II)-bound RcnR was observed in helix 1, which contains the N-terminus and His3, and has been associated with cognate metal binding. ²H uptake in helix 1 was suppressed in the Ni(II)- and Co(II)-bound RcnR complexes, in particular in the peptide corresponding to residues 14–24, containing Arg-14 and Lys-17. Substitution of these two residues drastically affected DNA-binding affinity, resulting in *rcnA* expression in the absence of metal. Our results suggest that cognate metal binding to RcnR orders

its N-terminus, decreases helix 1 flexibility, and induces conformational changes that restrict DNA interactions with the positively charged residues Arg14 and Lys17. These metal-induced alterations decrease RcnR–DNA binding affinity, leading to *rcnAB* expression.

Transition metals are essential to all organisms for the proper function of enzymes, yet are toxic, and therefore must be regulated to maintain an optimum level in the cell (1). Tight regulation of transition metals often involves a metal trafficking system composed of proteins that generate biological responses to the specific binding of one or more metal ions (cognate metals) and not to other metal ions (non-cognate metals) (1-4). The nickel trafficking pathway in *E. coli* supplies Ni(II) for the maturation of hydrogenases under anaerobic conditions (5,6) and includes an importer, NikABCDE (7,8), metallochaperones and accessory proteins for metal incorporation (3), HypA (9,10), HypB (11,12), and SlyD (13,14), and the exporter RcnAB (15,16). The combined activity of two transcriptional regulators, NikR (nickel-responsive regulator) (17), which controls expression of the importer, and RcnR (resistance to cobalt and nickel repressor) (18,19), which regulates the expression of the exporter, maintain nickel homeostasis in a manner functionally similar to

transcriptional regulators involved in the regulation of other metal ions, such as Zn(II) (Zur/ZntR) (20,21).

E. coli RcnR is a 40kDa α -helical tetrameric transcriptional repressor that is a founding member of the RcnR/CsoR family (DUF156 family) of DNA-binding proteins (22). Apo-RcnR binds to DNA, repressing the expression of the export proteins, RcnA and RcnB (23). Many metal ions bind to RcnR *in vitro*, but only binding Ni(II) or Co(II) (cognate metals) decreases the affinity of RcnR for DNA and induces the transcription of the exporter (18). Other family members sense different metal ions or respond to non-metal signals, including *M. tuberculosis* CsoR (Cu(I)), which has 40% sequence similarity to RcnR (~20% identity) (24) or *E. coli* FrmR (formaldehyde), which is 65% homologous to RcnR (40% identity) (25). Family members are tetramers composed of α -helical monomers and have no structural homology to well-studied DNA-binding motifs, such as the winged helix, helix-turn-helix, and ribbon-helix-helix motifs, indicating a unique DNA binding mode (22).

Tetrameric RcnR recognizes a pair of TACT-G₆-N-AGTA motifs located in the *rcnA-rcnR* intergenic region (22). RcnR makes contacts with the minor groove of the TACT inverted repeats, while the G-tracts might provide a structural component facilitating the interaction (22). The junction of A-form DNA, characteristic of the G-tracts, and B-form DNA, characteristic of the TACT motif, likely introduces a structural kink that might facilitate DNA recognition and wrapping (22,26,27). Models of the *frmRAB* promoter region with the apo-*EcFrmR* crystal structure suggested that Lys10, Arg14, Arg16 and Arg17 (Lys10, Arg14, Ser16 and Lys17 in RcnR sequence) interact with the major grooves of the DNA, and Lys91 (Lys90 in RcnR) is packed into the minor groove, and that these interactions are disrupted when Pro2 is crosslinked to Cys35 by formaldehyde in the N-terminus (25).

In contrast to FrmR, there is a lack of detailed information regarding how *EcRcnR* interacts with DNA and the nature of the allostery induced by cognate metal binding that affects the protein-DNA interaction. Herein, we report the use of hydrogen deuterium exchange coupled with mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) to probe the RcnR structure in the presence of DNA, cognate metal

ions (Ni(II)/Co(II)), and the non-cognate metal ion, Zn(II). The results provide information regarding the effects on protein dynamics of the binding of cognate vs. non-cognate metal ions and, coupled with a mutagenic study, lead to a proposed mechanism for how binding of cognate metals decrease DNA affinity in RcnR. This proposed mechanism is supported by the structure of FrmR and studies in CsoR and reveal how the allosteric response may be adapted from formaldehyde crosslinking to metal binding in order to evolve transcriptional regulators that respond to distinct chemical signals.

RESULTS

RcnR-DNA titration experiments using native mass spectrometry - The interaction of RcnR with DNA was investigated using a titration employing site-1 DNA, a 24-mer DNA molecule with a single TACT-G₆-N-AGTA recognition site, coupled with native ESI-MS (28). Native ESI-MS measurements allow for the unambiguous assignment of DNA:RcnR stoichiometry over a range of ligand concentrations, though differences in ionization efficiencies for the different complexes and potential gas phase artifacts make determination of binding constants difficult. As shown in **Figure 1**, the data clearly reveal the formation of 1:1 and 2:1 DNA:RcnR tetramer complexes, with the latter favored by increasing DNA concentrations. The 2:1 complex is detectable at a molar ratio of 1:1, indicating a significant affinity for a second site-1 DNA molecule at the protein and DNA concentrations used in this experiment. This can be understood in terms of a homology model (24) that reveals a band of positive charge circumscribing the RcnR tetramer, and thus provides two surfaces for specific interactions with two site 1 DNA molecules. This result is also consistent with non-specific binding of a second site 1 DNA, as would occur in DNA wrapping by RcnR (22).

Global protein HDX analysis - A comparison of RcnR complexes with site-1 DNA vs. site-1+2 DNA, a 44-mer DNA molecule with the full core RcnR binding site (22), using HDX-MS showed that the two complexes have remarkably similar global exchange kinetics (**Figure 2**). RcnR has 88 backbone amide hydrogen atoms, 20% of which are rapidly exchanged (within 30 seconds) in apo-RcnR. The exchange increases to 47% after 30

minutes of D₂O exposure. Remarkably, the protection of protein amide protons afforded by DNA binding is minimal, suggesting that the DNA complexes are quite dynamic. The site-1 DNA-RcnR complex has a slightly slower rate of deuterium incorporation than apo-RcnR, suggesting that site-1 DNA provides some protection to the backbone amide protons, but the fastest exchanging group is still accessible. Similarly, for the site-1+2 DNA-RcnR complex, the overall exchange rate decreases only marginally from that of the site-1 DNA-RcnR complex, indicating a little more protection in the larger complex, but the rapidly exchanging protons are not affected. Among these faster exchanging complexes, all have the same initial amount of deuterium uptake at 30 seconds, but the uptake slows at longer exposure time compared to apo-RcnR.

In contrast, cognate metal binding dramatically increases the protection of backbone amide protons (**Figure 2**). The initial exchange of only ~10% protons represents a ~50% decrease relative to apo-RcnR or the DNA complexes, indicating that some of the protons in the fast-exchanging group are protected by cognate metal binding. Only 26% of protons were exchanged in 30 minutes, and the exchange rate is essentially identical for Ni(II) and Co(II). Given the small effect associated with DNA binding, the change in exchange rate indicates altered protein dynamics that reflect a more rigid structure in the cognate metal complexes.

The global deuterium exchange kinetics for the Zn(II)-RcnR complex are similar to those of the apo-protein and DNA complexes, but feature a smaller number (16%) of rapidly exchanged protons and a smaller number of protons exchanged over 30 minutes. Thus, the non-cognate metal complex affords more protection and is consistent with a less dynamic RcnR structure than in the DNA complexes, but not nearly so much as observed in the cognate metal complexes.

Local protein HDX analysis of RcnR allosteric changes - In order to identify specific regions of the protein that are protected in the DNA and metal complexes, localized HDX-MS was performed. Pepsin digestion following HDX was done online for 4.5 min and 44 peptides that provided 98.9% coverage of RcnR were selected. The proteolytic peptide map is shown in **Figure 3**.

The HDX kinetics for six peptides are shown in **Figure 4**, with the remaining peptides shown in **Figure S1**.

In apo-RcnR, the largest change in deuterium incorporation is associated with the N-terminus (residues 2-13), helix 1 (residues 14-24), and the C-terminus (residues 80-87) (**Figure 5**). The N-terminal region and helix 1 have distinct deuterium uptake kinetics (**Figure 4a,b**). The N-terminus reaches the maximum deuterium uptake level, ~35 %, within 30 seconds and thus constitutes one fast-exchange group. The helix 1 peptide reaches 17% of its maximum exchange in 30 seconds, and continues to incorporate deuterium, reaching 40% after 1.5 h of D₂O exposure, indicating that the N-terminus is more dynamic.

The deuterium uptake kinetics for the site-1 DNA-RcnR complex is very similar to those of apo-RcnR and features the same rapid deuterium uptake at N-terminus (**Figure 4a**). However, a small decrease in the deuterium incorporation rates in the peptides corresponding to residues 2-24, 37-52 and 80-87 is observed in the complex (**Figures 4 and S1**). The site-1+2 DNA-RcnR complex shows more protection in these three regions, suggesting a potential DNA binding site that aligns well with the location of positively charged residues (Arg14, Arg46 and Lys17) identified by mutagenesis (*vide infra*).

The data regarding the metal complexes reveal that cognate metal binding induces minor protection of amide protons for most of the peptides, and a significant level of protection in peptides corresponding to residues in the N-terminus and helix 1 (residues 14-24) that is virtually identical for Ni(II) and Co(II) and not observed in the Zn(II)-RcnR complex (**Figure 4a,b**). There is <10% deuterium incorporation at the N-terminus after 90 minutes of D₂O exposure, indicating that the N-terminus is much less flexible in either cognate metal complex. This result is supported by the overall deuterium incorporation heat map (**Figure 5**). This analysis highlights a segment corresponding to residues 2-13 that shows low levels of protection in apo-RcnR, the DNA- and the Zn(II)-RcnR complexes, but is protected in the cognate metal complexes. The results are consistent with the use of the N-terminal amine as a ligand in the cognate metal binding site (29) (*vide infra*), and with the

observation of N-terminal folding in CsoR upon binding Cu(I) (30) and in FrmR in the presence of formaldehyde (25).

Other peptides, such as those containing residues 26-39, 53-72 and 53-78 also show some protection upon cognate metal binding, but the differences are relatively small compared to the N-terminal region, and mutations, including R74A, have little effect on DNA affinity (**Figures 4, 6 and S1**) (18). However, it is worth noting that these peptides span loop regions in a homology model of RcnR and contain some of the other known metal ligands, including Cys35 and His64 (18,31). This is consistent with the metal binding site being largely pre-formed in apo-RcnR and where the binding of the flexible N-terminus acts as an allosteric “switch” (32).

Although most regions of RcnR have low deuterium exchange rates in the presence of the cognate metals, part of helix 2, particularly in peptides 45-53 and 40-55 (**Figure 4 and S1**), show a slightly higher exchange rate compared with apo-RcnR. This is consistent with prior studies of CsoR and FrmR that show helix repacking upon binding of the signaling moiety (Cu(I) for CsoR and formaldehyde for FrmR) (25,30).

LacZ transcription reporter assays of important DNA binding residues - The HDX-MS results for the peptides corresponding to residues 14-24 and 2-24 show that about half of the backbone amide protons are protected in the cognate metal complexes relative to apo-RcnR (**Figure 4b and S1**). This region contains two highly conserved residues, Arg14 and Lys17. Mutagenesis was used to assess the roles of specific Arg and Lys residues in binding DNA by preparing several RcnR variants that were subsequently assayed for repressor activity *in vivo* using a LacZ transcription reporter assay (**Figure 6**) (18). The R14A and K17A variants showed high levels of transcription in the absence of any added Ni (≥ 0.5 fractional activity of WT + Ni), suggesting DNA-binding was strongly impaired. Other potential DNA binding residues did not show a strong effect (**Figure 6**). The R46A variant also showed some loss of repression in the absence of added metal (~ 0.2 fractional activity of WT + Ni). This low level of de-repression is similar to what was observed previously for two variants in the C-terminus, D77A and Y88F, in a study of RcnR variants that focused on Ni and Co

responsiveness (18), although mutation of these residues might also affect DNA-affinity. Arg14, Gln21, and Arg46 are conserved between *E. coli* RcnR and FrmR, while a conservative replacement of Lys17 to Arg is found in FrmR (**Figure 7**).

DISCUSSION

This work is one of the few examples utilizing HDX to study protein-DNA interactions (33,34), and the only one that we are aware of to examine the effects of metal and DNA binding on protein dynamics. According to previous studies employing X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) as a structural probe and LacZ assays to assess function (18,29,32), Co(II) and Ni(II) bind to RcnR with slightly different ligand sets, employing the His3 for Co(II) but not for Ni(II) (29). Ni(II) is ligated by the N-terminus, Glu34, Cys35, His64, Glu63 and one other protein ligand, while Co(II) binds N-terminus, Cys35, His3, Glu63, His64 and Glu34 (18,29,32). The complex defined in this way for Co(II) resembles a tris-bidentate chelate in that pairs of neighboring residues are employed as ligands (32). The cognate metal binding sites were found to be distinct from the non-cognate sites in that the latter do not employ the N-terminal amine or His3 as ligands (29).

The HDX-MS studies reported here show that formation of the cognate metal complexes orders the N-terminal motif, resulting in a much less dynamic N-terminus that is reflected in the decreased rate of HDX. Formation of the Zn(II) complex does not induce the same ordering of the N-terminus, consistent with the fact that the N-terminus does not provide ligands to the Zn(II) site (29). Other peptides that contain metal binding residues, such as peptides containing residues 26-39, 53-72 and 53-78, show some protection upon cognate metal binding, but the differences are relatively small compared to the N-terminal region (**Figures 4 and S1**). This is consistent with the metal binding site being largely pre-formed in apo-RcnR and where the binding of the flexible N-terminus is involved in the allosteric “switch” that results in lower DNA affinity (32). Reduced flexibility of the N-terminal region upon cognate metal binding has also been observed upon Cu(I) binding in the Cu sensor, CsoR (30). In this manner, the cognate metal binding site in *EcRcnR* serves the same function as the Pro2-Cys35

formaldehyde crosslink in FrmR: to order the N-terminus and bring the N-terminal amine in close proximity to Cys35 (25).

The HDX-MS data also reveal a change in the dynamics of helix 1 in the cognate metal complexes that leads to less flexibility, and is not observed to the same extent in either the Zn(II) complex or the DNA complexes (**Figures 4 and S1**). Helix 1 contains a large number of positively charged residues including, Arg6, Lys8, Lys10, Lys12, Arg14, Lys17. Arg14 and Lys17 are highly conserved across CsoR/RcnR family (**Figure 7**), and were shown to be crucial for DNA binding to *EcRcnR* and CsoR (35) by mutagenesis. It seems likely that these two residues affect DNA-affinity through non-specific contacts that are altered by cognate metal binding, although in the absence of detailed structural information regarding the protein-DNA complex, there is nothing known about specific protein-DNA interactions that would lead to DNA sequence recognition. This too is similar to the effects of formaldehyde crosslinking seen in the structure of *EcFrmR* (25). In the structure of *EcFrmR*, the distance separating a pair of Arg14 residues on one face of the FrmR tetramer shifts from 35 Å to 45Å upon formaldehyde crosslinking, changing the distribution of positive charge on the protein surface and inhibiting the interaction with DNA (25). Thus, the available data suggest a possible general mechanism wherein changes in the ability of DNA to interact with Arg14 and Lys17 are associated with a chemical signal, which in the case of *EcRcnR* is cognate metal binding.

The change in the positions of positively charged residues is likely associated with helix 1 and helix 2 repacking, as illustrated by the *EcFrmR* structure (25) and by NMR studies of *GtCsoR* that revealed the formation of a kink in response to Cu(I) binding in the helix 2 region between Ala76 and Ile84, including the key Cu(I) binding residue, Cys79 (30). The deuterium uptake curve of RcnR peptides containing residues 40-53, 40-55, 45-53, 42-52, 44-53 and 45-55 (helix 2 region, **Figure 4 and S1**) show *faster* deuterium uptake kinetics in Co(II) and Ni(II)-RcnR complexes relative to apo-RcnR, but not in Zn(II)-RcnR and DNA-RcnR complexes, consistent with more solvent exposure and a similar repacking of helices 1 and 2 in RcnR upon cognate metal binding.

The studies presented reveal details of the mechanism by which cognate metals (Co(II) and Ni(II)) induce structural changes in RcnR that affect DNA binding affinity and lead to de-repression of *rcnAB*. Binding of cognate metals to the N-terminus lead to an ordering of the N-terminal metal binding domain that brings the N-terminal amine in close proximity to Cys35. In this way, the metal binding site serves the same function as formaldehyde crosslinking of Pro2 and Cys 35 in *EcRcnR*, and reflects an adaptation that allows for detection of a larger variety of chemical signals. The ordering of the N-terminus decreases the flexibility of helix 1 and repacks helices 1 and 2, changing the distribution of surface positive charge on the protein and decreasing the affinity for DNA.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials – All chemicals besides those specified were purchased from Fisher Chemical. TEAA buffer was prepared with acetic acid and triethylamine (TEA).

RcnR overexpression and purification - RcnR was overexpressed and purified according to published procedures (32).

RcnR Mutagenesis and β -Galactosidase Reporter Experiments - RcnR variants R14A, K17A, Q19A, Q21A, R46A, and R74A were constructed in plasmid pRcnR as previously described (18), using the primers listed in Table 1 with the codon change shown in bold (only coding sequence shown from 5' to 3'; obtained from either Operon Biotechnologies, Hunstville, AL or Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). All pRcnR variants were sequenced (Seqwright, Houston, TX) to verify that only the desired mutation was present.

β -Galactosidase reporter experiments were conducted using two plasmids (pJ1115 and pRcnR) as described previously for RcnR metal-sensing variants (29,31). To assay reporter activity, transformed cells were grown anaerobically (37°C, 14-16 h) in LB medium containing ampicillin (100 mg/mL) and chloramphenicol (34 mg/ml) with or without nickel added to 500 μ M final concentration.

Table 1. Primers used in making RcnR variants

R14A	cag aaa ctg aaa gcg gct gcc agt aag att cag ggc
------	--

K17A	ctg aaa gcg cgt gcc agt gcg att cag ggc cag gtg gtg
Q19A	gcg cgt gcc agt aag att gcg ggc cag gtc gtg gcg
Q21A	gcc agt aag att cag ggc gcg gtc gtg gcg ctc aag
R46A	cag att gct gct atc gct ggc gcg gta aac gg
R74A	ggg gat gag cta aaa gct gaa gaa gat ctg gat g

dsDNA purification - The forward and reversed single strands of DNA containing a single RcnR binding site (site 1 DNA, forward strand sequence: aatctactgggggtagtatcagg) (22) and DNA containing the dyad-symmetric binding (site 1+2 DNA, forward strand sequence: aatctactgggggtagtatcaggtagtactggggggagtagaatc) (22) were purchased from Eurofins Genomics. Equal amounts of forward and corresponding reversed strands of site 1 DNA and site 1+2 DNA were annealed by heating to 95°C for 10 minutes and 20 minutes respectively, then slowly cooling down to room temperature and incubated on ice. Ethanol precipitation was performed and the dried dsDNA pellet was resuspended in a buffer with 0.1 M TEAA, pH 7.0 and 5% acetonitrile. The sample was then purified on an Agilent Zorbax 300SB-C18 column using an Agilent 1100 HPLC system. An acetonitrile gradient 5-15% with 0.1 M TEAA, pH 7.0 was run and the dsDNA was eluted at around 12% acetonitrile concentration. Following lyophilization, the DNA was resuspended in a buffer containing 300 mM ammonium acetate pH 7.0 or a buffer with 20 mM HEPES (Gold Biotechnology), 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP, Soltec Ventures), 10% glycerol, pH7.0 depending on the following experiments.

Protein-DNA titration mass spectrometry - Wild-type RcnR was desalted into 300 mM ammonium acetate buffer pH 7.0 using a Zeba desalting column (Thermo Fisher) and exchanged several times using Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filter (Millipore) to remove NaCl. 10 μ M RcnR tetramer with 0, 5, 10, 20 and 40 μ M ds-site 1 DNA molecule were loaded into the nanospray ESI capillaries, and the masses of the complexes were determined using a QSTAR-XL (SCIEX) Q-TOF mass spectrometer. Instrument source conditions, primarily the declustering and focusing potentials, were adjusted to lower values to preserve the RcnR tetramer in the gas phase.

Global protein HDX-MS - Wild-type RcnR was buffer exchanged into 20 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 10% glycerol, pH 7.0 using a Zeba desalting column (Thermo Fisher). For DNA-RcnR complexes, one equivalent (based on RcnR tetramer concentration) of ds-site 1-DNA or ds-site 1+2-DNA molecules were added to the RcnR solution, with the final DNA-RcnR complex concentration at 75 μ M. For metal-RcnR complexes, 1.2 equivalents (based on RcnR monomer concentration) of NiCl₂, CoCl₂ or ZnCl₂ were added, with the final metal-RcnR complex concentration at 200 μ M monomer complex (or 50 μ M tetramer complex), and the samples were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes.

HDX reactions were initiated by diluting 2 μ L of RcnR-DNA or RcnR-metal complexes, which were pre-equilibrated at room temperature for 2 minutes, with 18 μ L of deuterium buffer containing 20mM HEPES pD 7.4, 300mM NaCl at room temperature. The sample concentration at exchanging condition are 7.5 μ M for DNA-RcnR tetramer complexes and 20 μ M for apo- and metal-RcnR monomer complexes (5 μ M tetramer complex).

The deuterium buffer preparation procedure is as follows: 20mM HEPES and 300mM NaCl were dissolved in 99.5% D₂O (Cambridge Isotope), and the pH_{obs} was measured by an electrode that was pre-equilibrated with 99.5% D₂O for 20 minutes. pD = pH_{obs} + 0.4 was used to calculate the desired pH_{obs}. 0.5M NaOH was dissolved in 99.5% D₂O and was used to adjust the pH to the desired pH_{obs}.

At selected exposure times (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 30 minutes), the HDX reaction was then quenched and RcnR was denatured by injected into a switching valve attached in a cooling box to maintain the temperature at 0°C in order to reduce the rate of back-exchange. The masses of RcnR samples at different deuterium exposure times were measured using an ABI-SCIEX QSTAR-XL mass spectrometer equipped with an Agilent 1100 HPLC system and a 2.1*10mm MassPREP online desalting Cartridge (Waters). For apo-RcnR, site 1 DNA-RcnR and metal-RcnR complexes sample, the desalting Cartridge was equilibrated with 5% acetonitrile, and the sample was desalted and eluted using 5-95% acetonitrile gradient with 0.1% formic acid within 1 minute. For site 1+2 DNA-RcnR complex, the desalting Cartridge was

equilibrated with 20% acetonitrile, and 20%-95% acetonitrile gradient with 0.1% formic acid within 1 minute was used to elute the DNA molecule prior to RcnR elution.

Local protein HDX-MS - RcnR-DNA and RcnR-metal complexes were prepared as described above for global protein HDX, but with only 1% glycerol in the buffer system. The HDX reaction was initiated by diluting 3.8 μ L of 7.5 μ M DNA-RcnR tetramer complex and 30 μ M metal-RcnR monomer complexes (or 7.5 μ M metal-RcnR tetramer complex) with 52.2 μ L deuterium buffer. Since the K_D of DNA-RcnR and metal-RcnR complexes are at nano-molar range (17,32), the concentration used here is sufficient to keep the percentage of the complex in the solution more than 90%. In order to double check that the dilution is not causing the dissociation of the complexes, manual HDX initiation processes using Zeba desalting column (Thermo Fisher), which was pre-equilibrated with D_2O buffer, at 15 and 60 minute time point were performed. The deuterated samples were then injected into a Waters nanoACQUITY UPLC equipped with HDX technology, including a 2.1*30mm pepsin

immobilized Enymate column (Waters), trapping column (Waters Acquity Vanguard BEH C18 2.1*5mm) and an analytical HSS T3 column (Waters). By comparing the result from manual injection (data not shown) and from automatic system, the uptake curves are very similar, especially for those peptides that have important information. Therefore, for the rest of the exposure time point (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 15, 30, 60, 90 minutes), the experiment was done by the HDX automation system (LEAP technology) and the data was collected using a Synapt G2Si mass spectrometer. The resulting peptides were identified in undeuterated control samples using Waters MS^E and Waters ProteinLynx Global Server 3.0.1 (PLGS). Peptic peptide maps were generated by DynamX2.0 software (Waters). The percent deuterium exchange was calculated by dividing the mass differences between deuterated and non-deuterated samples by the number of backbone amides. For peptides, the number of backbone amides was calculated after subtracting one at the N-terminus and one at each proline residue. The data was not corrected for the back-exchange.

Acknowledgements: The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Steve Eyles for technical assistance in obtaining mass spectra. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant R01-GM069696 to M.J.M

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Author contributions: H.-T.H. performed the sample preparation, HDX-MS experiments and data analysis. C.E.B. optimized the native MS conditions for the DNA titration experiment. J.S.I. performed the mutagenesis and associated LacZ assays. All of the authors, including P.T.C. and I.A.K., contributed to the interpretation of the data and drafting of the manuscript. M.J.M. conceived, planned, and managed the project, and had overall responsibility for the program.

REFERENCES

1. Waldron, K. J., Rutherford, J. C., Ford, D., and Robinson, N. J. (2009) Metalloproteins and metal sensing. *Nature* **460**, 823-830
2. Foster, A. W., Osman, D., and Robinson, N. J. (2014) Metal preferences and metallation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 28095-28103
3. Higgins, K. A., Carr, C. E., and Maroney, M. J. (2012) Specific Metal Recognition in Nickel Trafficking. *Biochemistry* **51**, 7816-7832
4. Reyes-Caballero, H., Campanello, G. C., and Giedroc, D. P. (2011) Metalloregulatory proteins: Metal selectivity and allosteric switching. *Biophys. Chem.* **156**, 103-114
5. Böck, A., King, P. W., Blokesch, M., and Posewitz, M. C. (2006) Maturation of hydrogenases. *Adv. Microb. Physiol.* **51**, 1-71
6. Forzi, L., and Sawers, R. G. (2007) Maturation of [NiFe]-hydrogenases in Escherichia coli. *BioMetals* **20**, 565-578
7. Pina, K., Navarro, C., McWalter, L., Boxer, D. H., Price, N. C., Kelly, S. M., Mandrand - Berthelot, M. A., and Wu, L. F. (1995) Purification and Characterization of the Periplasmic Nickel - Binding Protein NikA of Escherichia coli K12. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **227**, 857-865
8. Heddle, J., Scott, D. J., Unzai, S., and Park, S. Y. (2003) Crystal structures of the liganded and unliganded nickel-binding protein NikA from Escherichia coli. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 50322-50329
9. Chung, K. C. C., and Zamble, D. B. (2011) Protein interactions and localization of the Escherichia coli accessory protein HypA during nickel insertion to [NiFe] hydrogenase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 43081-43090
10. Hube, M., Blokesch, M., and Böck, A. (2002) Network of hydrogenase maturation in Escherichia coli: role of accessory proteins HypA and HybF. *J. Bacteriol.* **184**, 3879-3885
11. Maier, T., Lottspeich, F., and Böck, A. (1995) GTP hydrolysis by HypB is essential for nickel insertion into hydrogenases of Escherichia coli. *The FEBS Journal* **230**, 133-138
12. Leach, M. R., Sandal, S., Sun, H., and Zamble, D. B. (2005) Metal binding activity of the Escherichia coli hydrogenase maturation factor HypB. *Biochemistry* **44**, 12229-12238
13. Kaluarachchi, H., Zhang, J. W., and Zamble, D. B. (2011) Escherichia coli SlyD, more than a Ni (II) reservoir. *Biochemistry* **50**, 10761-10763
14. Zhang, J., Butland, G., Greenblatt, J. F., Emili, A., and Zamble, D. B. (2005) A Role for SlyD in the Escherichia coli Hydrogenase Biosynthetic Pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 4360-4366
15. Rodrigue, A., and Effantin, G. (2005) Identification of rcnA (yohM), a nickel and cobalt resistance gene in Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **187**, 2912-2916
16. Blériot, C., Effantin, G., and Lagarde, F. (2011) RcnB is a periplasmic protein essential for maintaining intracellular Ni and Co concentrations in Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **193**, 3785-3793
17. Leitch, S., Bradley, M. J., Rowe, J. L., Chivers, P. T., and Maroney, M. J. (2007) Nickel-specific response in the transcriptional regulator, Escherichia coli NikR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 5085-5095
18. Iwig, J. S., Leitch, S., Herbst, R. W., Maroney, M. J., and Chivers, P. T. (2008) Ni(II) and Co(II) sensing by Escherichia coli RcnR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 7592-7606
19. Blaha, D., Arous, S., Blériot, C., and Dorel, C. (2011) The Escherichia coli metallo-regulator RcnR represses rcnA and rcnR transcription through binding on a shared operator site: Insights into regulatory specificity towards nickel and cobalt. *Biochimie* **93**, 434-439
20. Choi, S., and Bird, A. J. (2014) Zinc'ing sensibly: controlling zinc homeostasis at the transcriptional level. *Metallomics* **6**, 1198-1215
21. Outten, C. E., and O'Halloran, T. V. (2001) Femtomolar sensitivity of metalloregulatory proteins controlling zinc homeostasis. *Science* **292**, 2488-2492
22. Iwig, J. S., and Chivers, P. T. (2009) DNA recognition and wrapping by Escherichia coli RcnR. *J. Mol. Biol.* **393**, 514-526

23. Iwig, J. S., Rowe, J. L., and Chivers, P. T. (2006) Nickel homeostasis in *Escherichia coli* - the rcnR - rcnA efflux pathway and its linkage to NikR function. *Mol. Microbiol.* **62**, 252-262
24. Musiani, F., Zambelli, B., Bazzani, M., Mazzei, L., and Ciurli, S. (2015) Nickel-responsive transcriptional regulators. *Metallomics* **7**, 1305-1318
25. Denby, K. J., Iwig, J., Bisson, C., Westwood, J., Rolfe, M. D., Sedelnikova, S. E., Higgins, K., Maroney, M. J., Baker, P. J., Chivers, P. T., and Green, J. (2016) The mechanism of a formaldehyde-sensing transcriptional regulator. *Scientific reports* **6**, 38879
26. Lu, X.-J., Shakked, Z., and Olson, W. K. (2000) A-form conformational motifs in ligand-bound DNA structures. *J. Mol. Biol.* **300**, 819-840
27. Hud, N. V., and Plavec, J. (2003) A unified model for the origin of DNA sequence - directed curvature. *Biopolymers* **96**, 144-158
28. Kaltashov, I. A., Bobst, C. E., and Abzalimov, R. R. (2013) Mass spectrometry-based methods to study protein architecture and dynamics. *Protein Sci.* **22**, 530-544
29. Higgins, K. A., Chivers, P. T., and Maroney, M. J. (2012) Role of the N-terminus in determining metal-specific responses in the *E. coli* Ni- and Co-responsive metalloregulator, RcnR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 7081-7093
30. Chang, F.-M., Coyne, J. H., Cubillas, C., Vinuesa, P., Fang, X., Ma, Z., Ma, D., Helmann, J. D., los Santos, A., Wang, Y.-X., Dann, C. E., and Giedroc, D. P. (2014) Cu(I)-mediated Allosteric Switching in a Copper-sensing Operon Repressor (CsoR). *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 19204-19217
31. Higgins, K. A., Hu, H. Q., Chivers, P. T., and Maroney, M. J. (2013) Effects of select histidine to cysteine mutations on transcriptional regulation by *Escherichia coli* RcnR. *Biochemistry* **52**, 84-97
32. Carr, C. E., Musiani, F., Huang, H.-T., Chivers, P. T., Ciurli, S., and Maroney, M. J. (2017) Glutamate Ligation in the Ni(II)- and Co(II)-Responsive *Escherichia coli* Transcriptional Regulator, RcnR. *Inorg. Chem.* **56**, 6459-6476
33. Zhang, J., Chalmers, M. J., Stayrook, K. R., Burris, L. L., Wang, Y., Busby, S. A., Pascal, B. D., Garcia-Ordenez, R. D., Bruning, J. B., Istrate, M. A., Kojetin, D. J., Dodge, J. A., Burris, T. P., and Griffin, P. R. (2011) DNA binding alters coactivator interaction surfaces of the intact VDR-RXR complex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **18**, 556-563
34. Nevin, P., Lu, X., Zhang, K., Engen, J. R., and Beuning, P. J. (2015) Noncognate DNA damage prevents the formation of the active conformation of the Y - family DNA polymerases DinB and DNA polymerase κ . *FEBS J.* **282**, 2646-2660
35. Chang, F.-M., Martin, J. E., and Giedroc, D. P. (2015) Electrostatic Occlusion and Quaternary Structural Ion Pairing Are Key Determinants of Cu(I)-Mediated Allostery in the Copper-Sensing Operon Repressor (CsoR). *Biochemistry* **54**, 2463-2472

FOOTNOTES

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant R01-GM069696 to M.J.M

The abbreviations used are: HDX-MS, hydrogen deuterium exchange – mass spectrometry; ESI-MS, electrospray ionization – mass spectrometry; and RcnR, resistance to cobalt and nickel repressor.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Titration of RcnR with site-1 DNA monitored by ESI-MS. The concentrations and resulting RcnR:DNA ratios used in each experiment are indicated. Circles represent RcnR monomers and rectangles represent site 1 DNA molecules. The y-axes of the spectra are normalized intensity. The figure is colored to reflect the species involved (black = RcnR without DNA bound, blue = 1:1 DNA: RcnR complex, red = 2:1 DNA: RcnR complex). Inset: An expansion of the $m/z = 3000 - 5500$ region containing information regarding 1:1 and 1:2 DNA complexes.

Figure 2. The rate of global protein ^2H uptake for apo-RcnR, and site-1 DNA-, site-1+2 DNA-, Ni(II)-, Co(II)- and Zn(II)-RcnR complexes. Studies were performed on $7.5 \mu\text{M}$ DNA-RcnR tetramer complexes and $5 \mu\text{M}$ tetramer apo- and metal-RcnR complexes. The molar ratios shown are 1 DNA molecule:1 RcnR tetramer, and 1 metal ion:1RcnR monomer. The error bars are the mean \pm s.d. calculated from three individual measurements.

Figure 3. The proteolytic peptide coverage map for local protein HDX experiments. This coverage map was generated using ProteinLynx Global Server 3.0.1. 44 peptides (blue bars indicate specific peptides) were found with 98.9% coverage and 6.99% redundancy. The amino acid sequence of RcnR is labeled on the top. White vertical lines between blue bars indicate pepsin cleavage sites.

Figure 4. Deuterium uptake kinetics for selected peptides. Error bars are the mean \pm s.d. from triplicate measurements. The location of each peptide in the tetramer is indicated in red on the homology model of RcnR from reference (24). Missing data (*e.g.*, for Zn (orange) in panel c and site 1 + 2 DNA (purple) in panels c and e) indicates that this particular peptide was not found in the specific experiment or that the data quality was not good. However, redundancies in the coverage means that the same information can be found from other peptides (*e.g.*, for aa 26 – 37 and 25 – 36) in the SI. Color scheme for the different samples is indicated in panel d, and is the same as in **Figure 2**.

Figure 5. a. Deuterium uptake heat map shown for RcnR monomers of apo-RcnR and site-1 DNA-, site-1+2 DNA-, Ni(II)-, Co(II)- and Zn(II)-RcnR complexes. The heat map data was generated by DynamX2.0 (Waters) using the peptides shown in **Figures 4** and **S1**. Blue indicates a region of less ^2H uptake associated with a less flexible structure or less solvent accessible backbone amide protons, while red indicates a region with more ^2H incorporation or high structural flexibility. **b.** The secondary structure for apo-RcnR based on the homology model in reference (24).

Figure 6. a. The results of LacZ reporter assays of RcnR variants. Proteins with high activity in the absence of added Ni (white bars) are indicative of impaired DNA-binding. All variants tested here retained Ni-responsiveness (black bars). **b.** The location of the point mutations in the RcnR tetramer. The figure was made using the homology model from reference (24).

Figure 7. Multiple sequence alignment of RcnR, FrmR, CsoR and InrS from various organisms using an online Clustal Omega program. Highly conserved residues are highlighted in blue, and conserved residues between RcnR and FrmR are highlighted in red.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7

		3	14	17	30	35	
<i>E. coli</i> RcnR	-----	MSHTIRDRKRLKARASTQGVVALKKMI	DE	-PHECAAVLQ	41		
<i>E. coli</i> FrmR	-----	MPSTPEKKKKVLTTRVRRIRGQIDALERSIEG	-DAECRAILQ	41			
<i>S. enterica</i> FrmR	-----	MPHSPEDKKRLTRVRRIRGQVEALERAT	ES-GEPCLAILQ	41			
<i>M. tuberculosis</i> CsoR	-----	MS	KELT-AKKRAALNRLKTVRGHLDGIVRMLES	-DAYCVDVMKQ	42		
<i>T. thermophiles</i> CsoR	-----	MPHSH	LHLDPKVREEARRRLLSAKGHLEGLRMLDEKVCVDVLKQ	47			
<i>S. lividans</i> CsoR	MTTEAGASAPSPAVD	GAVNQTAQAEADGTDIVTDHDRGVHGYHKQAEHLKRLRRRIEGQIRGLQRMVDE	-DVYCIDILTC	81			
<i>G. thermodenitrificans</i> CsoR	-----	MTHPSQEEHVLHGTMIPTKKEE	IENIMKRLKRIEGQVRGVQKMVED	-NRYCIDILVQ	56		
<i>B. subtilis</i> CsoR	-----	MEKHNEHKTILNHKSSKEKDQITNRLKRIEGQVRGIQNMVEN	-DRYCVDIILVQ	51			
<i>Synechocystis</i> PCC6803 InrS	-----	MSTESHSHFSPSVHSHPHHHSEESLRAIVNRLSRIEGHVGRIKTMVQD	-SRPCPEVLIC	58			
		46	60	64	67		
<i>E. coli</i> RcnR	IAAIRGAVNGLMREVIK	GHLTEHIVHGGDEL-KREEDLD	--VVLKVLDSYIK	-----	90		
<i>E. coli</i> FrmR	IAAVRGAANGLMAEVLESHIRETFDRNDCYSREVSQSVD	--DTIELVRAYLK	-----	91			
<i>S. enterica</i> FrmR	IAAVRGAANGLMSEMVEIHLKDELVSGETTPDQRAVRMA	--EIGHLLRAYLK	-----	91			
<i>M. tuberculosis</i> CsoR	ISAVQSSLERANRVMMLNHNLETCFSTAVLDG-HGQAAIE	--ELIDAVKFTPALTGPHARLGGA	AVGESATEEPM	PDASNM	119		
<i>T. thermophiles</i> CsoR	LKAVEGALDRVGEMVLR	HLKDHVATAHERG-DVEEIVE	--ELMEALKYR	-----	94		
<i>S. lividans</i> CsoR	VSASTKALQSFALQLEEHLRHC	VADAALKG-GTEIDAKVEEATKAIGRL	LRT	-----	133		
<i>G. thermodenitrificans</i> CsoR	ISAIQAALRQVGHQLLERHANHC	VAKAIREG-SGEQSLR	--ELMDVIKQFAK	-----	105		
<i>B. subtilis</i> CsoR	ISAVQAAMKNVALHLLLEDHAHHC	VADAIKSG-DGEQAIS	--ELLDVFKKFTKS	-----	101		
<i>Synechocystis</i> PCC6803 InrS	IAAVRGALDRVARLILDEHLDKCVTHAAQEG	-RIDQELA	--ELKAALDHFLG	-----	107		

Co(II) and Ni(II) binding of the Escherichia coli transcriptional repressor RcnR orders its N-terminus, alters helix dynamics, and reduces DNA affinity
Hsin-Ting Huang, Cedric E. Bobst, Jeffrey S. Iwig, Peter T. Chivers, Igor A. Kaltashov and Michael J. Maroney

J. Biol. Chem. published online November 17, 2017

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: [10.1074/jbc.RA117.000398](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA117.000398)

Alerts:


- [When this article is cited](#)
- [When a correction for this article is posted](#)

[Click here](#) to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts

Supporting Information

Co(II) and Ni(II) binding of the *Escherichia coli* transcriptional repressor RcnR orders its N-terminus, alters helix dynamics, and reduces DNA affinity

Hsin-Ting Huang¹, Cedric E. Bobst¹, Jeffrey S. Iwig², Peter T. Chivers³, Igor A. Kaltashov¹ and Michael J. Maroney^{*1}






Figure S1. The deuterium uptake curves of selected peptides. The color scheme for the different sample is indicated at the right bottom corner, and is the same as in Figure 2 and 4. The data were not corrected for back exchange.